## Mt. Joy Township Supervisors' Public Hearing Meeting January 5, 2012 7:15 p.m.

## Ordinance No. 2012-01

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF MOUNT JOY, ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, CHAPTER 86 TO REQUIRE THAT A FINAL PLAN CONTAIN ALL OF THE INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR A PRELIMINARY PLAN AND THAT A FINAL PLAN BE RECORDED IN ITS ENTIRETY

## **Meeting Minutes**

Present: Board Chairman - John Gormont; Supervisors - David Updyke, Gilbert Clark, Michael Gearhart, Bradley Trostle; Solicitor - Susan Smith, Esq.; Secretary/Treasurer - Susan Harbin

22 Number of Residents Signed Attendance Sheet for this meeting.

The Mount Joy Township Board of Supervisors met this date, as publicly advertised, at the Mount Joy Township Building located at 902 Hoffman Home Rd., Gettysburg, PA 17325.

Item/Topic	Discussion	Action/Resolution
I. Call to Order	Public Hearing Meeting, duly advertised, opened at 7:15 p.m.	.0
	Chairman John Gormont presiding.	

- II. ORDINANCE NO. 2012-01, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF MOUNT JOY, ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, CHAPTER 86 TO REQUIRE THAT A FINAL PLAN CONTAIN ALL OF THE INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR A PRELIMINARY PLAN AND THAT A FINAL PLAN BE RECORDED IN ITS ENTIRETY
  - The proposed amendment to Section 86-12E provides that the final subdivision plan should be recorded in its entirety.
  - The proposed amendment to Section 86-13 adds that any requirement for a preliminary plan that is not superseded by a final plan requirement be shown on the final plan.

III. Pubic Comments	Opened 7:16 pm	
_	No public comments.	
	Closed 7:17 pm	

IV. Recommendations		
A. Adams County Planning Commission	The county questions the cost associated with recording a final plan in its entirety as a potential cost burden.  The county also comments that the process of "cross-checking" will add complexity to the plan review process.	<ul> <li>Twp. Solicitor and Twp. Engineer Comments:</li> <li>Both consider the recording costs to be unduly burdensome and see great value to the Twp., applicant/developer, and the public, in having full record access to the approved plan.</li> <li>Counsels that the Twp. preliminary and final plan content requirements are not so complex as to present significant hurdles to an applicant, its engineer or the Twp reviewing staff. The preliminary plan typically is the fundamental building block for the final plan. An applicant modifies the information already provided on the existing preliminary plan.</li> </ul>
B. Mt. Joy Twp. Planning Commission	MJT Planning Commission had no quorum at the Dec. mtg. in order to review Ordinance and provide recommendation to the Board.	
V. Adoption of Ordinance	Recommendation from Adams County Planning Commission is in conflict with the opinion of Mt. Joy Twp. Supervisors regarding Ordinance 2012-01.	Mr. Clark moved, seconded by Mr. Trostle, to table a decision on Ordinance 2012-01 until all Supervisors have had an opportunity to review comments from Adams County Planning Commission and the MJT Planning Commission has reviewed the Ordinance. Motion carried unanimously.
VI. Adjourned	Public Meeting adjourned 7:24 pm.	

Respectfully Submitted,

Susan C. Harbin Secretary/Treasure