

## **NOVEMBER 16, 2006 SUPERVISORS' MEETING:**

The Mount Joy Township Board of Supervisors met this date in regularly scheduled session at 7:30 p.m. in the Mount Joy Township Municipal Building, 902 Hoffman Home Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, with Board Chairman James Waybright presiding. Others in attendance were: Supervisors William Chantelau, George Scott, Samuel Dayhoff, and Harold Kirschner; Zoning Officer Dave Crouse; Eric Mains, Engineer of KPI; Robin Crushong, Treasurer; Jesse Hubbard, Office Assistant; News Reporters Aaron Young (*The Gettysburg Times*); and Brenda Constable, Secretary. Solicitor Walton V. Davis was not present.

Citizens in attendance were: David Updyke, Audrey Sanders, and John Roman representing the Watch Dog Group; Lyman Schwartz; Jerry Althoff representing the Planning Commission; Tim Brown; Tom Newhart; Carol Newhart; John Kresky; Lou Shuba; Sonny Sneeringer; Steve Loss representing Fairview Farms; Robert Jonas; Elsie Morey; Sally Alexander; Tom Dunchack; Jerry Maloney; Mac Manis; Eileen Holmes; Audrey Weiland; John Mahoney representing Keystone Custom Homes; Jack McLatchy; Tom Titus; Donald Titus; and Lynn Anne Sukeena.

Board Chairman Waybright led everyone with the Pledge to the Flag, and a moment of silent meditation in memory of Jane Ramsburg who passed away on November 14, 2006. She was the wife of Lee Ramsburg, Zoning Hearing Board Chairman, and mother of Beau Ramsburg, Ag Land Preservation Board Chairman.

Mr. Waybright announced that the meeting was being recorded.

### **Minutes:**

Mr. Kirschner moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to approve the minutes of the October 19, 2006 meeting as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Chairman's Statement:**

Board Chairman Waybright reflected on the past week's events, and the recent election. Had a good turnout for the election, but has some concerns. Approximately 1,400 voters came out, but only about 30 people present here tonight; some here for a subdivision plan needing action, and some because they are not happy with what is happening in their back yard and will most likely not see them again once their issue has passed.

Another concern is with information that has been put out by some people prior to the election regarding what "density" actually means, and there is a difference; some information given to voters was not accurate. He added that it wasn't necessarily wrong, but it was misleading and didn't tell the whole story. Letters to the Editor and brochures that have been sent out by groups, mentions increased density. The bottom line is that the "number" of homes in the proposed area was not being increased; the number of homes remains the same as day one of the proposal. Density means how close the homes are and that's an individual choice. If someone chooses to live on a one or three acre lot, there's no difference; it's still one house on that lot. If it's a 10,000 or a 15,000 sq. ft. lot, it's still one house on the lot. He stressed again that the increased density did not increase the number of houses. Supervisors must deal with facts and not emotion. They must deal with the Second Class Township Code and the Township Code and do what that

says they must do. Mr. Waybright encouraged the public to understand this; they can not deal with emotion, only the facts.

Mr. Waybright also noted that during Election Day, some folks had concern with some information that has been put out to the public, and also asked how the Supervisors meet with the Watch Dog Group. He suggested that because the Watch Dog Group does not have a meeting place, the Township should offer the Township meeting room to the Group for them to hold their meetings. He added that if they were sincere in wanting to do good for the Township, here is a place to meet.

### **Public Comments:**

1. Dave Updyke, 361 Updyke Road, head of the Watchdog Group. Responded that he thinks the Watchdog Group will take up the offer to use the Township building for their meetings. He also thanked everyone for a good voter turnout at the recent election. Thinks there is still a significant number of people upset with what is going on in the Township; he acknowledged that it was not the majority, but it was still significant. He asked that the Supervisors give their attention to these issues.
2. Sonny Sneeringer, owns land on corner of Schwartz and Barlow-Two Taverns Road. Recently had a subdivision plan approved and he was asking if he could change the location of a driveway on the corner lot from the proposed location on Barlow-Two Taverns Road to Schwartz Road. He referred to a letter received from the Township Secretary, via Township Solicitor's instructions that he would need to file a revised plan showing the driveway change, and could ask for reduced fees and a waiver of all other requirements other than review of the change. The revised plan would need to go through the same review procedure for approval, i.e. Planning Commission and then Supervisors. Mr. Sneeringer asked if he could do the change without having to pay subdivision review fees, etc. Mr. Scott instructed him to submit a letter formally requesting a waiver and the Supervisors would then consider the request.
3. Eileen Holmes, White Church Road. Agrees with Dave Updyke; asked Supervisors' if they ever answered Coddington's letter regarding prohibiting use of Jake Brakes; requested Supervisors to make a request to PennDOT to place "Slow" signs on Route 97 approaching the intersection of Barlow-Two Taverns, Hoffman Home, and Two Taverns Road.
4. Bill Chantelau, Supervisor: Add comments to those already expressed by the Chairman, and thanked everyone who came out to vote; the turnout was spectacular and would like to see this kind of turnout at every election. An informed electorate is the best form of democracy that we have. Was nice to be out on Election Day and talk to the people and hear the concerns they have. Added that it was good to see the majority of the citizens realize that five supervisors are good governance for the Township. The wisdom of the electorate showed that five would provide better representation than a three member board. Hopes that the residents continue their high level of interest in township government.
5. George Scott, citizen and Supervisor. Read and presented a written statement addressing remarks made by the Watch Dog Group. He also provided written copies to members of the Watch Dog Group. He addressed the following: Watch Dogs say the Supervisors don't listen to them. Mr. Scott responded that he does listen and reads what they print in the newspapers. He chooses not to respond because he has not been convinced to take actions they support. Mr. Scott gave background on all Township Boards, Commissions, and Committees that he has served on since 1995 and asked the Watch Dogs what credentials they have. Mr. Scott pointed out that Mt. Joy Township is ahead of neighboring townships with a plan and vision on controlling growth, and is beginning to see this work. The Watch Dogs have not presented any plans, only complaints. Watch Dogs have said preserved land can eventually be built on; Mr. Scott asked for proof, not just talk. He asked the Watch Dogs what they have accomplished to date, and if they really care about the Township or are they just a small

group with personal axes to grind. He pointed out the Watch Dogs accomplishments in that the Supervisors will communicate better with the residents, will work even harder to plan for the future, and will serve the majority while protecting the minority. He asked the Watch Dogs if they would be willing to join with the Supervisors to work together and encouraged them to volunteer, get on committees, get a plan, or even run for supervisor.

### **Announcements:**

Ms. Constable announced the following:

1. Supervisors' Conditional Use Hearing for Shipley Stores, Inc. for drive-thru financial institution – continued to November 30, 2006 at 7:30 p.m.
2. Upcoming Board and Commission positions expiring on December 31, 2006:
  - Planning Commission (4-year term) – Two positions, Jim Wiltgen and Carol Newhart
  - Zoning Hearing Board (3-year term) – One position, E. Lee Ramsburg II
  - Recreation Board (5-year term) – One position, Harry Tassou
  - Ag Land Preservation (5-year term) – One position, Alden ReeseAnyone interested is to send a letter of interest to the Supervisors by the end of the year.
3. Mr. Waybright announced that he presented a letter to the Adams County Commissioners during their meeting on November 15, 2006, encouraging them to support the Adams County Green Space Advisory Committee's recommendation, and notified them that Mount Joy Township has included a line item in the 2007 budget to support this recommendation.

### **Treasurer's Report:**

Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to approve the Treasurer's Report for the month of October and part of November as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Chantelau moved, seconded by Mr. Scott, to approve the bills to be paid for the month of October and part of November as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Subdivision/Land Development Plans:**

1. Westminster-Keystone Custom Homes: proposed 204-lot subdivision on White Church and Goulden Roads. Mr. John Mahoney, Attorney for Keystone Custom Homes, was present to request an extension for preliminary review through February 28, 2007. Mr. Althoff of the Planning Commission noted that the Commission recommends approval of the extension with the condition that Keystone providing an updated status report. Mr. Mahoney noted that the process has been slow because of the sewer and water issue. He added that they have enough TDRs for the Westminster project, noting that 170 are needed. They currently have a total of 365 TDRs. Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to approve an extension for preliminary review through February 28, 2007. Motion carried unanimously.
2. Fairview Farms-Barton Breighner, Owner: it was noted that the preliminary plan was approved on September 21, 2006 with conditions. Mr. Steve Loss, Engineer for Fairview Farms, was present to request approval of a revised Sewage Facilities Module. Planning Commission recommends approval contingent upon Loss-Stair including Lot #9 soil testing results and replacing the Operation and Maintenance agreement for A/B Systems vs. IRSIS systems. The Township is to revise Component 4A and complete section Q, followed by the review and approval of the Revised Module by Township

Engineer. Mr. Chantelau moved, seconded by Mr. Kirschner, to approve the Revised Sewage Facilities Planning Module as recommended by the Planning Commission and adopt Resolution #23 of 2006 for Plan Revision for New Land Development with submission to DEP. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Shipley Stores, Inc.: proposed land development plan for removal of existing store at 1910 Baltimore Pike, and building of new store with gas pumps and bank. Requesting an extension of preliminary review to allow time to address comments from the Township Engineer, Traffic Engineer, DEP, PennDOT, and the County Conservation District. Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Kirschner, to approve the extension request through January 19, 2007. Discussion: Mr. Scott noted that he visited the new Shipley Store on Route 116, which includes a bank, and he is now more concerned than before. The Supervisors also stressed that they needed the addresses of Shipley's other businesses so they could have a chance to visit them prior to the November 30 Conditional Use Hearing. With no further discussion, the motion carried unanimously.

### **Road Report:**

Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Kirschner, to approve the Road Report as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Zoning Report:**

Mr. Chantelau moved, seconded by Mr. Kirschner, to approve the Zoning Report as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Correspondence:**

Ms. Constable noted the following correspondence:

- Notice from Comcast regarding price changes effective January 1, 2007. This notice was also sent out to all customers.
- PennDOT – notification of highway maintenance resurfacing project on Route 134 to begin spring or summer of 2007.
- Gettysburg Teener League Baseball request for donation.
- Met-Ed notification of application for an operating permit renewal for Germantown Substation.
- Columbia Gas notification – applying for a General Permit for gas line installation/repair on Baltimore Pike.

### **Committee Reports:**

Personnel: Nothing to report.

Finance: Mr. Chantelau noted that the Finance Committee and Treasurer would be attending a meeting held by the York Adams Tax Bureau on Tuesday, November 21, 2006 to discuss the municipalities' options with regard to the overpayments and payback fiasco.

Planning, Land Use & Zoning: KPI recommends implementing a GIS database for managing well information. This information would be collected as well permit applications are submitted to KPI for inspections. KPI would create the database for approximately \$1,200. KPI

would then maintain and update the information for the Township, with the cost to add each new record to be built into the processing fee currently collected by the Township. Mr. Chantelau moved, seconded by Mr. Dayhoff, to authorize KPI to initiate the action for creating a database for well information into the Township's GIS. Motion carried unanimously.

Building & Grounds: Nothing to report.

Roads: KPI, as the Township's Engineer, requests approval to send a letter to Attorney Robert Campbell, to address inquiries and questions by one of his clients, with regard to future widening of Goulden Road and improvements to the intersection of Goulden Road and White Church Road. A draft letter was presented for review, with Solicitor's approval. Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Kirschner, to authorize KPI to send the letter to Attorney Campbell. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Safety: Mr. Waybright announced that the Supervisors received an invitation to the Gettysburg Fire Company on December 1, 2006.

Ag Land Preservation: Noted receiving the monthly report.

Recreation Board: Noted receiving the monthly report. With recommendation from the Rec Board, Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Dayhoff, to approve KPI Technology to focus its work on the RFP for the recreation study rather than the proposed trail at this time. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Other Business:**

#### **Planning Commission Clarification Request:**

Tabled from previous meetings, was a request from the Planning Commission to clarify sections of the zoning ordinance, 110-155 versus 110-155.D.(6)(d)[1][2] for the RR district with regard to allowing public water and sewer or not allowing public water and sewer. Mr. Chantelau stated that the Planning, Land Use & Zoning Committee reviewed these sections and concluded that there is no inconsistency between the two sections. He gave justification to their decision and invited the Planning Commission to meet with this committee if they needed further consultation and/or discussion concerning this matter.

#### **Status of Zoning Change Requests:**

Mr. Chantelau noted that the Township has had some residents who have requested to change their zoning from RR to AC question what the status is and if anything was going to happen soon. He noted that the Township Solicitor has instructed the Supervisors not to discuss this matter because it is currently the subject of litigation against the Township. No action can be taken on this matter at this time.

#### **Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment Request - TDRs:**

Mr. John Mahoney, Attorney for Keystone Custom Homes, submitted a draft text amendment to the zoning ordinance, §110-155 pertaining to TDRs, that would allow reduced lot sizes in the RR district in order to preserve more open space when TDRs are used, without increasing the number of units. He

noted that the proposed amendment was submitted to Solicitor Davis, he made some revisions, and Mr. Maloney agrees with those revisions. He is now requesting that the Supervisors send the proposed amendment to the Planning Commissions and set a date for a Public Hearing. Mr. Scott asked if this amendment addressed using some of the preserved open space for some of the utilities. Mr. Mahoney responded that it depends on the definition of open space, but was not sure; he did not address utilities in this revision. Mr. Scott pointed out that there would be water, wells, and water storage for this development. Mr. Mahoney commented that just recently the water tower was discussed and at first, he thought it was to be placed on the Westminster development; now it is proposed somewhere else. He added that Ramsburg's development is where they are going to preserve as much open space as possible, especially if they would be allowed to go to 10,000 sq. ft. lots. He suggested that the Supervisors may want to add this to the amendment, which would be a significant revision and would require a resubmission. Mr. Scott urged Mr. Maloney to get everything in order now so that everything can be done at one time. Mr. Dayhoff has concerns and communicated those concerns to Mr. Davis, and would prefer to wait for his response. He added that he is concerned with the agricultural uses on these properties that are located within and/or so close to the development. He suggested that maybe additional deed restrictions should be implemented on these parcels. Discussion was held as to whether this restriction should be on this document [the amendment] or make it a deed restriction that the homeowners can come back on. This way the Township would be out of the loop. Mr. Mahoney felt that it should be in the zoning ordinance for consistency, and add a provision that gives restrictions on the land or a different conservation easement to prevent public nuisance if it is agricultural use. Mr. Scott suggested that Mr. Mahoney work out these issues with Mr. Davis and have the proposed amendment ready to go next month.

#### Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment Request – Internet Sales:

As a result of a Zoning Hearing held on May 17, 2006, relative to internet retail sales being allowed in various zoning districts, specifically on the corner of Baltimore Pike and California Road, a proposed zoning text amendment to the zoning ordinance was presented to the Supervisors for consideration, which would define the term "internet retail sales", add a new section providing requirements for internet retail sales, and amend the table of permitted uses. Mr. Scott stated that he had a concern as to what is an internet retail sale and how is it going to effect or be effected by doing regular business. Apparently this is a place that takes cars, puts it in a building, tears it apart, and sells the parts via internet. He feels that this is a combination of two things. An internet business can be that nobody touches the parts that are shipped from the factory, etc., but when this started, the individual did not have junk cars parked outside his building. He now has approximately 20 junk cars sitting outside. Mr. Scott feels this should be looked at in relationship to what internet sales really are. Mr. Dayhoff asked if there were any timeline to take action on this. Ms. Constable explained that if the Supervisors are not favorable to this proposed amendment and does not move forward on it, then it goes back to the Zoning Hearing Board.

Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Scott, to table action on this request until the December meeting, and to have the Zoning Officer investigate the reason why a number of cars are stored outside at the property located at 3757 and 3757A Baltimore Pike, and to send a notice of a junkyard violation. Mr. Scott also suggested that the Solicitor take a look at what the Supervisors are doing here so one does not contradict or prohibit the other. Mr. Scott added that the neighbors are now starting to complain, and at the time of the Zoning Hearing in May, the neighbors did not have a problem with what he was doing because he did not have junk sitting around.

Mr. Mains asked if the Township has "No impact home based business" criteria. He added that some townships, such as Reading, has this and it would address this particular issue. Mr. Dayhoff noted

that the zoning ordinance has an intensive or non-intensive home based business criteria. However, he recalled that at the beginning of this, as to what the zoning ordinance allowed, that the Solicitor's interpretation of this business was warehousing. This business started out without any impact, but now he has 20 cars sitting outside. Mr. Kirschner added that this type of business also has quite a bit of truck traffic with UPS, and other delivery trucks in and out all day long. Mr. Dayhoff questioned whether or not limits should be required, such as maximum square footage, etc.; what allows internet sales; what keeps someone from putting in a two or three acre facility? Mr. Mains suggested that a certain percentage of the land could be set as a limit for size.

Motion carried unanimously.

The Supervisors suggested that Mr. Mains review the current zoning ordinance criteria for home based businesses and then review it with the Planning, Land Use & Zoning Committee to revise it if needed.

**New Business:**

Junkyard Certificate:

Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Scott, to approve a 2006-2007 Junkyard Certificate for Shane Decker, Lessee, who is leasing property located at 2771 Baltimore Pike, Gettysburg, from John McAlister, Lessor. Motion carried unanimously.

Ordinance No. 2006-04:

Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to adopt Ordinance No. 2006-04, amending the zoning ordinance as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 2006-04

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF MOUNT JOY, ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, AMENDING CHAPTER 110 (ZONING), ARTICLE III (DISTRICTS), SECTION 110-25.B.(2)(b) PERMITTED USES ENUMERATED [AS SET FORTH IN THE TABLE OF PERMITTED USES BY DISTRICTS]) TO REMOVE AMUSEMENT PARK, PAINT BALL GAMES AND CLOSELY SIMILAR USES AS USES PERMITTED BY SPECIAL EXCEPTION IN THE VILLAGE DISTRICT, MAKING AMUSEMENT PARK, PAINT BALL GAMES AND CLOSELY SIMILAR USES NOT PERMITTED IN THE VILLAGE DISTRICT.

The Board of Supervisors of Mount Joy Township hereby enacts as follows:

Section I. Section 110-25.B.(2)(b) [Table of Permitted Uses by District] of Article III of Chapter 110 of the Code of Ordinances of Mount Joy Township is hereby amended as follows:

Type of Uses (see definition in Article II.)	Zoning Districts			
	V & V-H <sup>1</sup>	PC	LC	I/C
Commercial Uses (cont'd):				

Recreation, outdoor as part of a commercial use or club, not including uses listed separately in this §110-25 (such as motor vehicle race trucks)				
Miniature golf course, golf driving range, batting cages and closely similar uses	SE in V; N in V-H	P	P	P
Amusement Park, paint ball games and closely similar uses	N in V; N in V-H	P	N	P
Boating, fishing, outdoor archery, tennis clubs, and closely similar uses	P	P	P	P

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

ADOPTED this 16th day of November, 2006.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ /s/

MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS

\_\_\_\_\_ /s/

Motion carried unanimously.

Traffic Engineer Proposal:

Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to approve a proposal from Trans Associates for engineering services to act as a consultant on traffic issues. Motion carried unanimously.

Resolution No. 21 of 2006 – Reimburse Supervisors:

Mr. Dayhoff moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to adopt Resolution No. 21 of 2006, authorizing the reimbursement of lost wages to supervisors as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 21 OF 2006 (*See December 21, 2006 Minutes – Corrected No. 22 of 2006*)

A Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the Township of Mount Joy, Adams County, Pennsylvania, authorizing the reimbursement of lost wages to supervisors, per Act 101 of 2006 (S.B. 809), effective September 5, 2006, amending sections of the Second Class Township Code, Act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), reenacted and amended November 9, 1995 (P.L.350, No.60).

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors (“Board”) of the Township of Mount Joy, Adams County, Pennsylvania, (“Township”) may authorize a supervisor who is employed by the Township to be compensated at the supervisor’s regular employee rate and a supervisor who is not employed by the Township to receive total or partial reimbursement for lost wages or salary if the supervisor’s presence is required in a court of law concerning a Township related matter or the supervisor’s attendance is required at any meeting of a board, council of government, commission, authority or county government-sponsored committee to which the supervisor has been appointed by the board of supervisors, board of county commissioners or county council of the county in which the supervisor resides; and

Whereas, the compensation may be granted at the discretion of the board of supervisors and any rate may be granted to the supervisor up to the supervisor's normal pay rate or lost wages or salary, and shall be subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The court must be in session or other meeting must occur during the supervisor's normal working hours.
- 2) The supervisor must not receive any compensation from the other board council of government, commission, authority or county government committees for the particular meeting the supervisor attends.
- 3) No supervisor may be compensated for attending more than 120 hours of court sessions, other board, council of government, commission, authority or county government committee meetings within a calendar year. The secretary or treasurer of the township shall keep an accurate and timely accounting of the number of hours that a supervisor has accrued; and

Whereas, if a meeting of the county association is held during township employee's normal work schedule, the board of supervisors may authorize a supervisor employed by the township to be compensated at the supervisor's regular employee rate during their attendance at the meeting, in which case the supervisor is not entitled to the thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) as authorized in Section 1401 of the Second Class Township Code; and

Whereas, if a meeting of the officers and members of the executive board of the county association is held during the township employees' normal work schedule, the board of supervisors may authorize a supervisor who is employed by the township to be compensated at the supervisor's regular employee rate and a supervisor who is not employed by the township to receive total or partial reimbursement for lost wages or salary during the supervisors' attendance at the meeting, for up to six (6) days total in a year. A supervisor may not be compensated by the township if the supervisor receives any other compensation from the county association for attending the meeting; and

Whereas, the board of supervisors may authorize supervisors employed by the township to be compensated at the supervisor's regular employee rate and during their attendance at the annual state association of township supervisors meeting; and

Whereas, the board of supervisors may authorize a supervisor who is employed by the township to be compensated at the supervisor's regular employee rate and a supervisor who is not employed by the township to receive total or partial reimbursement for lost wages or salary if the supervisor attends a meeting for which the supervisor is a member of the executive committee, a standing committee or a trustee of the state association of township supervisors subject to the following limitations:

- 1) A supervisor on a standing committee of the state association of township supervisors shall be limited to two (2) days per year of regular employee rate compensation or lost wages or salary, as applicable.
- 2) A supervisor on the board of trustees insurance fund of the state association of township supervisors shall be limited to four (4) days per year of regular employee rate compensation or lost wages or salary, as applicable.
- 3) Any supervisor on the executive board or committee of the state association of township supervisors shall be limited to fifteen (15) days per year of regular employee rate compensation or lost wages or salary, as applicable.
- 4) A supervisor on any of the committees, funds or boards identified under paragraph (1), (2) or (3) may not be compensated by the township under this section if the supervisor receives any compensation from the committee, fund or board for attending that meeting.

Adopted this 16th day of November 2006.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Motion carried unanimously.

2007 Budget:

Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to tentatively adopt the 2007 Budget and place on the counter for public review, with intent for final adoption on December 21, 2006. It was noted that this budget does not include a tax increase. Motion carried unanimously.

**Executive Session:**

Board Chairman Waybright called for an Executive Session at 9:00 p.m. this date.

**Meeting Reconvened:**

Board Chairman Waybright reconvened the Supervisors' Meeting at 9:15 p.m. this date with the all members in attendance as listed above.

Mr. Waybright noted that two issues were discussed during Executive Session: litigation and personnel. No action required at this time.

**Adjournment:**

With no further business to come before the Board, Mr. Scott moved, seconded by Mr. Chantelau, to adjourn the Supervisors' Meeting at 9:15 p.m. this date. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda J. Constable  
Secretary