Mount Joy Township Supervisors' Supervisors' Regular Meeting & Public Hearing Meeting January 17, 2013

Meeting Minutes

Present: Supervisors - John Gormont, Chairman, David Updyke Vice-Chair, Bradley Trostle, Gil Clark, Mike Gearhart Solicitor - Susan Smith; Secretary/Treasurer - Susan Harbin

Number of Residents Signed Attendance Sheet for this meeting.

The Mount Joy Township Board of Supervisors met this date, as publicly advertised, at the Mount Joy Township Building located at 902 Hoffman Home Rd., Gettysburg, PA 17325.

Item/Topic	Discussion	A	ction/Resolution	Follow Up/ Date
Call to Order	John Gormont presiding.			Date
	Starting Time: 7:03 pm			
Pledge of Allegiance		1		
	PUBLIC HEARING			
Public Hearing	Starting 7:04 pm			
Call to Order	Public Hearing Meeting, duly advertised, opened at 7:04 pm. Chairman, John Gormont presiding.			
Revised Lighting Plan -	The Links At Gettysburg Land Co., LLC has submitted a plan to revise the originally submitted plan for			
Garrison Falls at The Links	lighting in the Garrison Falls community, approved 10/20/2	005 and recorded	10/24/2005, Book No. 4176,	
at Gettysburg, The Links	Pg. 264.			
at Gettysburg Land Co.,	The modification being proposed, revised on 9/26/2012, is of	coming after these	plans have been finally	
LLC	approved and recorded, and after property owners have pure	chased lots on relia	ance upon the original plan. The	
	revised plan proposes a reduction in the lighting from 72 lig	thts to 37 lights. A	at the time the original plan was	
	approved, it met the requirements of the MJT zoning ordina	nce which called f	for a light at every unit.	
Presenters:				
Bob Sharrah,	Mr. Sharrah, land surveyor and consultant for The Links at Gettysburg, presented the revised plans before the			
Sharrah Design Group	Board. With some input from the community and considering the other communities already developed, it is			
	believed that too much light is being proposed with original plan. The revised plan depicts 37 street lights, as			
	opposed to 72 street lights, plus addition of 2 residential 6' pole lights, 1 on Lot 22, and 1 to be placed			
	between lots 67 and 70.			
Joseph Doyle,	Mr. Doyle presented The Links at Gettysburg – Garrison Falls, Mt Joy Solicitor comments:			
Chief Street Lighting	Township Street Lighting Report, dated Jan. 15, 2013 to th	e Board.	Mr. Doyle is being allowed to	
Engineer, Philadelphia,	testify because, as an engaged			

ig, January 17, 2015		rage 2 01 o
lights to 21. One of the key ingredients is the satisfaction and concerns of other residents in adjourning communities. Mr. Doyle evaluated the conditions and explored alternatives with a lighting manufacturer. Alternative A: installation of 21 LED fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.24, closely approaches the AASHTO standard. Alternative B: installation of 21 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.27. Alternative C: installation of 37 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.53, appears to meet the AASHTO standard for 4.0 foot-candles	consultant, he has traveled here for this purpose. His testimony will be available for a continued public hearing on a revised plan to be presented for 21 lights.	
out as an anomative to the 11 to therea.		
 Mr. Doyle: AASHTO standard of quantity of light is a 4.0 average foot candle reading. How a community reaches that number varies. Meeting the criteria depends on the design of the poles and intensity of lights. Can you get to the 0.4 foot candle with the 21 LED lights with sufficient amplification? Mr. Doyle: Don't loose sight of what you are trying to do with lighting by giving so much attention to The number of lights vs. lighting the road and sidewalks at priority. Need to meet criteria and the practicality of how it looks and works for the community. Are the lighting requirements in the Ordinance used to approve the original plans excessive? Mr. Doyle: I do not want to comment on the MJT Ordinance. There are different standards that could be considered as alternatives Can LED lights be used? Mr. Doyle: I would recommend LED lights. Is it difficult to change from LEC lights, once installed, to the older style lighting? Mr. Doyle: Not easy to change out, expensive and difficult. 		
residential pole lights and meets the 0.4 AASHTO criteria. Mr. Klein is requestandard, and believes that the planned golf community regulation does give the a modification. Mr. Klein: To comply with the standard a light post with a 100 watt light bullyard, meeting the standards and not supply enough light for street/sidewalk sat	esting a modification from the ne Board the right to grant such b could be put on each front fety. The 21 light plan would	
	 Mr. Doyle presented an alternative to the 37 lights, reducing the number of lights to 21. One of the key ingredients is the satisfaction and concerns of other residents in adjourning communities. Mr. Doyle evaluated the conditions and explored alternatives with a lighting manufacturer. Alternative A: installation of 21 LED fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.24, closely approaches the AASHTO standard. Alternative B: installation of 21 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.27. Alternative C: installation of 37 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.53, appears to meet the AASHTO standard for 4.0 foot-candles Mr. Doyle is recommending the Township consider using the 21 LED build out as an alternative to the AASHTO criteria. What is the minimum number of lights required under the existing code? Mr. Doyle: AASHTO standard of quantity of light is a 4.0 average foot community reaches that number varies. Meeting the criteria depends on intensity of lights. Can you get to the 0.4 foot candle with the 21 LED lights with sufficient Mr. Doyle: Don't loose sight of what you are trying to do with lighting In The number of lights vs. lighting the road and sidewalks at priority. Neepracticality of how it looks and works for the community. Are the lighting requirements in the Ordinance used to approve the origin Mr. Doyle: I do not want to comment on the MJT Ordinance. There are be considered as alternatives Can LED lights be used? Mr. Doyle: Not easy to change out, expensive and difficult. Mr. Sharrah: The revised plan of 37 lights does not specify a light per home residential pole lights and meets the 0.4 AASHTO criteria. Mr. Klein is requisated and an believes that the planned golf community regulation does give the amodification. Mr. Klein: To comply with the standard a light post with a 100 watt light bul yard, meeting the standards and not supply enough light for street/sidewalk sa like up all the streets, the inte	Mr. Doyle presented an alternative to the 37 lights, reducing the number of lights to 21. One of the key ingredients is the satisfaction and concerns of other residents in adjourning communities. Mr. Doyle evaluated the conditions and explored alternatives with a lighting manufacturer. Alternative A: installation of 21 LED fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.24, closely approaches the AASHTO standard. Alternative B: installation of 21 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.27, alternative C: installation of 37 metal halide fixtures – Actual illuminance 0.53, appears to meet the AASHTO standard for 4.0 foot-candles Mr. Doyle is recommending the Township consider using the 21 LED build out as an alternative to the AASHTO criteria. • What is the minimum number of lights required under the existing code? Mr. Doyle: AASHTO standard of quantity of light is a 4.0 average foot candle reading. How a community reaches that number varies. Meeting the criteria depends on the design of the poles and intensity of lights. • Can you get to the 0.4 foot candle with the 21 LED lights with sufficient amplification? Mr. Doyle: Doyl: boos eight of what you are trying to do with lighting by giving so much attention to The number of lights vs. lighting the road and sidewalks at priority. Need to meet criteria and the practicality of how it looks and works for the community. • Are the lighting requirements in the Ordinance used to approve the original plans excessive? Mr. Doyle: Ido not want to comment on the MJT Ordinance. There are different standards that could be considered as alternatives • Can LED lights be used? Mr. Doyle: I would recommend LED lights. • Is it difficult to change from LEC lights, once installed, to the older style lighting? Mr. Doyle: Not easy to change out, expensive and difficult. Mr. Sharrah: The revised plan of 37 lights does not specify a light per home and includes street lights, not residential pole lights and meets the 0.4 AASHTO criteria. Mr. Klein is requesting a modification from the

Supervisors Regular Meeti	ilg, Jahuary 17, 2015	Page 3 of 8
	of 0.4 foot candle and the engineer states that this plan meets that average.	
 Lisa Love, 5 Garrison Falls Dr. Mr. Gormont Steve Rice 	 What is defined as a residential style light fixture? Mr. Klein: It's a 6 ft. pole with a 100 watt light bulb. This is not being proposed in the revised plan. Street lights are being proposed. Why are there no lights on lots along Savannah Dr.? Mr. Klein: Mr. Klein will be revising the plan to a 21 light plan which will have lights along Savannah Dr. 	1
Public Comments		
 Dennis Bowman Dave Larson Lisa Love Terry Castonguay 40 Brookside Ln. Michele Warrington Steve Rice John Ramirez John Love, 5 Garrison Falls Dr. Dennis Bowman 	 Older communities in The Links are overrun with bright lights. Ordinance requiring this needs to be modified. Recommending LED lighting, cost effective. Only requesting enough light for street/sidewalk safety. Country living needs to be pleasant, please review and accept the revised forthcoming 21 light plan. The issue is the quality of lighting in the community, not the number of lights. Encouraging uniform lighting throughout the The Links. The Board needs to address how the Ordinances are being interpreted. Many MJT roads have no street lights. The difference seems to apply to communities, not residential neighborhoods. 	
Letters presented to the Board	 Ltr. dated 5/11/12, The Links At Gettysburg Land Co., Rick Klein, stating numerous complaints concerning too much light in the courts as previously planned and required. 16 signatures from residents attached, signifying approval of the modified lighting plan for Garrison Falls community. Ltr. dated 1/11/13, Richard W and Angela F. Straker, 84 Garrison Falls Dr., Gettysburg, PA, supporting the plan that supports 21 streetlights. Ltr. dated 1/14/13, Chip Conley and Jan Rovecamp, 179 Savannah Dr., Gettysburg, PA, preference to have approximately 10 light, one at each intersection and corner. Also stating the citations of code requirements are for commercial or dense residential areas and that there is no specific illumination standard for neighborhoods like The Links. The plan for 21 street lights is sufficient. Ltr. dated 1/16/13, Thomas and Barbara Greeley, 55 Brookside Ln., Gettysburg, PA, supports a plan that has as few lights as needed to maintain safety within Garrison Falls. Ltr. dated 1/17/13, Ginny Werder, 14 Brookside Ln., Gettysburg, PA, in support of the plan that has approximately 20 streetlights. 	
Recommendations	1. MJT Planning Commission:	
Presented	Report dated 7/10/12 - Recommending that a waiver be granted regarding a lighting plan for Garrison Falls, pending approval of the lighting engineer. Report dated 10/17/12 - Recommending approval with stated conditions. 2. Wm. F. Hill & Assoc., Inc: Ltr. dated 7/9/12 Ltr. dated 10/5/12	

Supervisors Regular Mee			1 agc 4 01 6
	3. Adams County Office of Planning and Developme	nt	
	Ltr. dated 6/29/12	T	
General Comments From	Before the Board for consideration:		
the Board	Modification 1: the revised plan proposes a		
	reduction in the lighting from 72 lights to 37 lights.		
	Modification 2: each detached dwelling shall have		
	an individual pole light. The Twp. has made a conclusion that Section N		
	applies and the proper mechanism to challenge that		
	would be an appeal to the Zoning Hearing Board.		
	would be all appear to the Zolling Hearing Board.		Public
	Mr. Sharrah, on behalf of Mr. Klein is requesting to	Mr. Sharrah agrees to grant an extension of time for	Hearing to
	continue the Public Hearing for the purpose of	the Board of Supervisors to act on the plan.	continue to
	submitting a further revised plan of which the Board	and a contract of the contract	2/21/13 at
	will allow public comment at that time.	The Board did not make a decision at this meeting as	7:00pm.
		the 37 light plan has been withdrawn.	•
	Modifications to be presented: 1) a reduction in the		
	number of lights to 21, 2) modification of the single		
	unit light per lot, and 3) modification from the 0.4		
	AASHTO standard.		
Adjournment	Closed Public Hearing: 8:45 pm		
	Supervisors' Regular Mtg. Reco	onvened at 8:50pm	
Public Comments	None.		
Approval of Minutes	• December 20, 2012 Supervisors' Regular Meeting	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr.	
	Minutes	Updyke, to approve Minutes as presented.	
		Motion carried unanimously.	
	• January 7, 2013 Supervisors' Organizational Meetir	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
	Minutes	Gearhart, to approve Minutes as presented.	
	Williates	Motion carried unanimously.	
	• January 10, 2013 Supervisors' Workshop	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
	5 January 10, 2013 Supervisors Workshop	Gearhart, to approve Minutes as presented.	
		Motion carried unanimously.	
Chairman's Statement -	No comments.	•	
John Gormont			
Solicitor's Report –	Solicitor's Report presented.	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
Susan Smith, Esq.		Gearhart, to accept Report as presented.	
		Motion carried unanimously.	

	civisois Regulai Meeti			1 age 5 01 6
	ons Requesting Time	None.		
on A	genda			
Ann	ouncements			
Trea	surer's Reports	Approval of Monthly Finance Report	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
			Gearhart, to accept Report as presented.	
			Motion carried unanimously.	
		Approval Bills Paid Report.	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr.	
			Updyke, to accept Report as presented.	
			Motion carried unanimously.	
Road	d Report	Report presented.	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr. Trostle,	,
			to accept Report as presented. Motion	
			carried unanimously.	
Subo	division and Land Dev.			
1.	Sheetz	Stevens & Lee letter dated 1/8/13 granting a 30 day	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr.	
	Preliminary/Final	extension from 2/11/13 to 4/30/13 was presented for	Updyke, to accept a 30 day extension for the	
	Land Development	review.	Sheetz Preliminary/Final Land Development	
	Plan		Plan. Motion carried unanimously.	
2.	Lynman D. Schwartz	Beyond All Boundaries, LLC letter dated 1/8/13 granting	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
	Preliminary/Final	a 180 day extension from 2/11/13 was presented for	Gearhart, to accept a 180 day extension for	
	Land Development	review.	the Lynman D. Schwartz Preliminary/Final	
	Plan	Sewage planning is currently being conducted on the	Land Development Plan. Motion carried	
		proposed lots. The soil probes have been completed	unanimously.	
		on lots 1-14. Due to the harvesting activities on said		
		lots, the percs could not be completed as desired. As		
		soon as weather permits, the perc testing will begin.		
		• Wetland delineations have been conducted on lots 1-4		
		along Two-Taverns Rd. Additional wetland		
2	Fairview Farm	investigations need to continue on the property. Bob Sharrah, Sharrah Design Group, presented the plan	Mr. Coorbort moved seconded by Mr.	
3.	Preliminary/Final	proposing the transfer of 0.72 acres from Lot 3 within the	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr. Updyke, to accept modification from SALDO	
	Subdivision Plan	Fairview Farms subdivision to Lot 1 of the same	86-15.A(4),(5) for the Fairview Farm	
	Review	subdivision. Would like to defer deeds written until lots	Preliminary/Final Subdivision Plan. Motion	
	IXC VIC W	are sold, SALDO 86-15.A(4),(5), asking for modification	carried unanimously.	
		approval	carried unanimously.	
		approvan.	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr.	
		Presented:	Updyke, to approve the Planning Waiver and	
		MJT Planning Commission Plan Review and	Non-Building Declaration for the Fairview	
		Recommendations.	Farm Preliminary/Final Subdivision Plan.	
		Wm. F. Hill & Assoc., Inc. letter dated 1/8/13.	Motion carried unanimously.	

Supervisors Regular Mee	<u>e</u> , , ,	T	1 456 0 01 0
	Adams County Office of Planning and Development letter dated 1/4/13.	Mr. Gearhart moved, seconded by Mr.	
	Tetter dated 1/4/13.	Updyke, to approve the submitted Fairview	
		Farm Land Development Plan without any	
		further conditions. Motion carried	
		unanimously.	
4. Fairview Farms	The financial company that is proposing to do the Escrow	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr. Trostle,	
Escrow Agreement	Agreement as the performance security for the	to appoint the Township solicitor as Escrow	
	construction of the improvements in the Fairview Farms	Agent for Fairview Farms Escrow	
	development is asking who will be the Escrow agent.	Agreement and to be responsible for	
		distribution under appropriate	
Wm. F. Hill & Assoc.	Dan ant massants d	circumstances. Motion carried unanimously.	
Engineering Report,	Report presented	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr. Gearhart to accept Report as presented.	
Eric Vranich		Motion carried unanimously.	
Elic viamen		Woton carried unanimously.	
	Issues with the end of Clapsaddle Rd regarding parked	Supervisors will review and discuss with Mr.	
	vehicles and the turning of municipal equipment.	Kelly to use his driveway as a turnaround for	
	Adjacent homeowner, Mr. Kelly, concerned with vehicles	MJT trucks and install no parking signs.	
	turning around in his driveway.	Engineer will research property deeds for right-	
		of-way information.	
Zoning Officer's Report	Report presented.	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
Cindy Smith		Gearhart, to accept Report as presented.	
Y 1 X7 1 X 1	A11: 1 1 1 1 CC 1 C	Motion carried unanimously.	
Junk Yard License and	All junk yard owners have been notified of a proposed	Supers agreed to send a letter out to the	
Non Conforming Use	junk yard area. Only 2 have come before the board with a	remaining junk yard owners stating that the	
Areas	response. It is time to establish the proposed junk yard areas and send out a formal notification for all license and	Twp. has now determined, having had no response, that this is your license and non-	
	non-conforming use determinations to conclude the	conforming use area for the junk yard.	
	process.	comorning use area for the junk yard.	
Septic Haulers	Mr. Gormont stated that the Twp. has received a	Zoning Officer will set up a meeting with the	
	complaint from a resident which stated that several Twp.	approved septic haulers to clarify that if septic	
	approved septic haulers are not in compliance with the	haulers want to be on the approved list, they	
	Twp. agreement and specific requirements for the septic	must state a set fee for inspection and offer	
	Ordinance.	inspections, according to the Twp. ordinance, in	
		addition to other services available.	
	Each approved septic hauler has signed an agreement as to		
	the Twp. specific requirements concerning compliance		
	with the Twp. septic Ordinance.		

Supervisors Regular Meeti	1115, 3411441 y 17, 2013			1 agc / 01 0
	Haulers must have the ability	to measure the tank and a they pump the tank. If tank		
	does not meet the 1/3 rule, it			
Land and Cas Compiess		does not need to be pumped.	Mr. Unduka mayad sasandad bu Mr. Tuasda	
Land and Sea Services,	Report presented.		Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr. Trostle	
LLC, Building Inspections			to accept Report as presented. Motion	
Monthly Fine Co. Deports	Fire Calls & EMS		carried unanimously.	
Monthly Fire Co. Reports		VTD Calle in MIT		
1 Alaha Eina Campana	MJT Calls per month	YTD Calls in MJT		
1. Alpha Fire Company	3 (Nov.)	24		
2. Barlow Fire Company	2 (Dec.)	39		
3. Bonneauville Fire Co.	5 (Dec.)	72		
4. Gettysburg Fire Co.	9 (Nov.)	101		T
Correspondence	Thank you note for Christi			
	Thank you note for Christi			
	Gettysburg Adams Chamb	per of Commerce, 2/14/13, Ger	eral Membership Brkfst invitation.	
Committee/Board Reports				
<u>Personnel</u> B. Trostle, D. Updyke	Kenny Sheets was hired on N road worker.	Mon., 1/14 th as a part time	Mr. Gormont moved, seconded by Mr. Updyke, to re-affirm the hiring of Kenny Sheets as a part time road worker. Motion carried unanimously.	
Finance J. Gormont, D. Updyke	Re-organization of MJT Fina	nce Cmte.	Mr. Gormont moved, seconded by Mr. Trostle to re-organize the Fiancé Committee to 2 members instead of 3 members. Motion carried unanimously. Mr. Gormont moved, seconded by Mr. Gearhart, to reappoint Mr. Gormont and Mr. Updyke to the Fiancé Cmte. Motion carried unanimously.	
Planning Commission	No report.			
Planning, Land Use &	No report.			
Zoning - G. Clark				
Building & Grounds - M. Gearhart	Water appears to be leaking of Conference Room.	onto the ceiling tiles in the	Mr. Gearhart will investigate.	
Roads	The Tar Kettle has been orde	red for sealing cracks in		
J. Gormont	Twp. roads. Chipper repaired			
	trees.	-		

Supervisors' Regular Meeting, January 17, 2013

	Page	8	of	8
--	------	---	----	---

Public Safety	No report.		
G. Clark			
Council of Government	ACCOG December minutes presented.	Mr. Gearhart will provide Mr. Gormont with the	
M. Gearhart	Joint purchasing – the Twp. should acknowledge if	website on join purchasing with ACCOG.	
	interested.		
Other Business	No other business.		
New Business			
Resolution No. 15 of 2013	Tax Collector Fees	Mr. Updyke moved, seconded by Mr.	
		Gearhart, to adopt Resolution No. 15 of 2013,	
		Tax Collector Fees. Motion carried	
		unanimously.	
Executive Session	No Executive Session.		
Adjournment	Meeting adjourned 9:56pm.		

Respectfully Submitted,

Susan C. Harbin Secretary/Treasurer